

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,133	10/09/2003	Mikio Ishihara	461-150	6466	
23117 75	590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			XU, LING X		
ARLINGTON,	-	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1775		
				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,133	ISHIHARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Ling X. Xu	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	!SS
Period for Reply	NVIO DET TO EVDIDE AMO	NEUO OD TUDEN (OO)	DAVO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	September 2005.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the mo	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-26</u> is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> is/a		jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been re	eceived in this National Sta	age .
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	.07
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/9/2003.	08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	· 2) .
	.,	- -	

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 9/8/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al (GB-2017640).

With respect to claims 1-2 and 7, Ogawa discloses a ceramic honeycomb body having channels near the outer peripheral wall thereof, which are filled with a ceramic, or other reinforcing material over the entire length of the channels or over a certain depth from both end surfaces of the channels (abstract). The honeycomb body can be used as a catalyst support for purifying exhaust gases of an internal combustion engine (abstract). The disclosure of Ogawa shows that if a virtual line is drawn on the both end faces of the honeycomb structure by continuously connecting points at a distance of 1.0 to 3.0 times (see Fig. 1B) the pitch of the

Art Unit: 1775

channels in the direction toward the center from the inner surface of the surrounding wall, almost 100% (page 1 lines 55-60) of the peripheral area outside the virtual line is blocked with plug material such as ceramic or other reinforcing material.

With respect to claims 4 and 8, Ogawa discloses that the partition wall has a thickness 0.3 mm, which is within the claimed ranges as recited in claims 4 and 8.

With respect o claim 5, Ogawa discloses that the ceramic honeycomb structure is made of cordierite (page 1, lines 35-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ogawa et al.

As stated above, Ogawa discloses the same ceramic honeycomb filter as recited in claim

1.

Ogawa also discloses that the partition walls defining the channels of the honeycomb have a larger porosity in order for the honeycomb bodies to have a large surface area per unit volume and have excellent heat resistance and are useful for purifying exhaust gases of internal combustion engines (page 1, lines 1-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/681,133

Art Unit: 1775

Therefore, the porosity of the surrounding wall and the partition walls of the honeycomb disclosed by Ogawa is considered to have larger porosity such as greater than 50% or in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the honeycomb structure with the porosity of the surrounding wall and the partition walls to be larger than 50% in order for the honeycomb bodies to have a large surface area per unit volume and have excellent heat resistance for purifying exhaust gases of internal combustion engines, as taught by Ogawa.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al., as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Worner et al. (US 4,669,261)

As stated above, Ogawa discloses the same ceramic honeycomb filter as recited in claim 1.

Ogawa does not disclose that the central area within the virtual line on the end face of the ceramic honeycomb structure having openings of the cells provided with plug material and openings of the cells not provided with plug material arranged alternately as recited in claim 6.

Worner teaches that the honeycomb structure used for exhaust gas treatment having the inlets and outlets of the alternate channels of the honeycomb structure plugged in a check board pattern (abstract and col. 2, lines 1-20), which is the same arrangement as recited in claim 6.

Worner also teaches that such arrangement improves the efficiency of the honeycomb structure and reduces the thermal load on the structural parts (col. 1, lines 30-40).

Art Unit: 1775

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to plug the openings of the channels as recited in claim 6 in order to improve the efficiency of the honeycomb structure and reduce the thermal load on the structural parts, as taught by Worner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Ling X. Xu whose telephone number is 571-272-1546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah D. Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 1775